Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/715,118	SHIBAYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven H. Rao	2814	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) *Steven H. Rao*. (3)_____.

(2) *John G. Smith (33,818)*. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: *08 February 2006*.

Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference
c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: *1-16*.

Identification of prior art discussed: *Mattson and Chappo*.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☒ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *The Examiner explained to Mr. Smith that the claims recited in the preliminary amendment of Jan. 19, 2006 might potentially have 112 problems. Mr. Smith will discuss the case with his clients and may file a supplemental amendment within a week to 10 days.* .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required 02/08/06